23

24

25

BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH EXAMINERS

In the Matter of:

Basil Argento, LAC-20036, Licensed Associate Counselor, In the State of Arizona. CASE NO. 2022-0088

DECREE OF CENSURE

RESPONDENT

In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of the above captioned matter, consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Arizona State Board of Behavioral Health Examiners ("Board"), and pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 32-3281(F) and 41-1092.07(F)(5), Basil Argento ("Respondent") and the Board enter into this Consent Agreement, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

- Any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board concerning the allegations, and all related materials and exhibits may be retained in the Board's file pertaining to this matter.
- 2. Respondent has the right to a formal administrative hearing at which Respondent can present evidence and cross examine the State's witnesses. Respondent hereby irrevocably waives their right to such formal hearing concerning these allegations and irrevocably waives their right to any rehearing or judicial review relating to the allegations contained in this Consent Agreement.
- Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney prior to entering into this Consent Agreement.

- 4. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning it to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke their acceptance of this Consent Agreement or make any modifications to it. Any modification of this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 5. The findings contained in the Findings of Fact portion of this Consent Agreement are conclusive evidence of the facts stated herein between only Respondent and the Board for the final disposition of this matter and may be used for purposes of determining sanctions in any future disciplinary matter.
- 6. This Consent Agreement is subject to the Board's approval, and will be effective only when the Board accepts it. In the event the Board in its discretion does not approve this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value, nor shall it be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by any party hereto, except that Respondent agrees that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or of any records relating thereto.
- 7. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that the acceptance of this Consent Agreement is solely to settle this Board matter and does not preclude the Board from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future. Furthermore, and notwithstanding any language in this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement does not preclude in any way any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent, including but not limited to violations of Arizona's Consumer Fraud Act. Respondent acknowledges that, other than with respect to the Board, this Consent Agreement makes no representations, implied or

otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent.

- 8. Respondent understands that once the Board approves and signs this Consent Agreement, it is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and that it shall be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 9. Respondent further understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(n) and may result in disciplinary action pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3281.
- 10. The Board therefore retains jurisdiction over Respondent and may initiate disciplinary action against Respondent if it determines that they have failed to comply with the terms of this Consent Agreement or of the practice act.

The Board issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Respondent is the holder of License No. LAC-20036 for the practice of counseling in Arizona.
 - 2. On 07/01/21, Respondent obtained his LAC license with the Board.
- With the issuance of Respondent's LAC license, he received a letter from Board staff notifying him that as an associate level licensee he must practice under direct supervision pursuant to A.A.C. R4-6-211.
- 4. On 09/28/21, Respondent submitted a Supervised Private Practice ("SPP") application and upon Board staff research, it appeared Respondent had already been providing psychotherapy services within a private practice setting ("Private Practice") without prior Board approval.
- 5. On Respondent's SPP application, he signed an attestation that he understood he could not practice until the proposed supervisor was approved.

- 6. In 10/21, Board staff subsequently contacted Respondent who admitted to treating clients through Private Practice and misunderstood the rules regarding independent practice as an associate level licensee.
- 7. Prior to Board approval to provide services in a private practice setting,
 Respondent was actively advertising as a practicing therapist accepting clients in Arizona.
- 8. Respondent acknowledged seeing approximately 18 clients through Private Practice prior to Board approval to do so.
- 9. Board staff subsequently collected 3 random clinical records for clients Respondent treated through Private Practice which showed Respondent provided psychotherapy services to these clients from 07/21 10/21 without required supervision or Board approval.
- 10. Respondent represented during an investigative interview that he was under the impression he had 60 days to find a clinical supervisor and believes he misunderstood Board rules.
- 11. Respondent felt he understood Board rules and statutes but acknowledges he did not do enough research.
- 12. Once the Board made Respondent aware he was seeing clients unlawfully, Respondent contacted all of his clients and offered to refer them to another therapist but a majority of the clients chose to pause their therapy and wait until Respondent could provide services through Private Practice.
- 13. Since 10/21, Respondent has ceased any unlawful practice in a private practice setting.
- 14. Despite Respondent ceasing psychotherapy services, he failed to notify the Board within 10 days of the termination of his supervision agreement with the proposed supervisor.

- 15. Furthermore, Licensee had several documentation deficiencies within his clinical records.
- 16. Upon review of the clinical records obtained, Respondent's informed consent for all three clients failed to include three Board requirements.
- 17. Respondent's informed consent documents also included Colorado rather than Arizona and cited a crisis hotline for Colorado.
 - 18. None of the three clinical records included a treatment plan.
- 19. The progress notes for the three clients failed to include three telehealth requirements per Board rules.
- 20. The progress notes do not indicate whether the services provided were telehealth and Board staff was only aware they were telehealth by confirming with Respondent during an investigative interview.
- 21. Board staff requested Respondent to provide a treatment plan template and upon receipt, Respondent's treatment plan template failed to include four minimum Board requirements.
- 22. Respondent represented he conducted his own research when opening his practice and read the Board's rules and statutes.
- 23. During an investigative interview, Respondent represented he was not aware a treatment plan was required for every client.
- 24. Respondent acknowledged not paying close attention to the details of all of the Board rules on documentation.
- 25. Respondent began his own private practice without knowing the minimum requirements for clinical documentation.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

2223

24

25

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251 *et seq.* and the rules promulgated by the Board relating to Respondent's professional practice as a licensed behavioral health professional.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(ii), violating any federal or state law, rule or regulation applicable to the practice of behavioral health, as it relates to:

A.A.C. R4-6-210, Practice Limitations

A.A.C. R4-6-211, Direct Supervision: Supervised Work Experience: General

3. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(p), failing to conform to minimum practice standards as developed by the board., as it relates to:

A.A.C. R4-6-1101, Consent for Treatment

A.A.C. R4-6-1102, Treatment Plan

A.A.C. R4-6-1106, Telepractice

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, the parties agree to the provision and penalties imposed as follows:

ORDER of CENSURE

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the parties agree to the provisions and penalties imposed as follows:

- Respondent is hereby censured, which is an official action against their license, for their conduct as set forth in the Findings of Fact.
 - 2. This Consent Agreement shall be effective on the date of entry below.

1	3. This Consent Agreement is conclusive evidence of the matters described herein
2	and may be considered by the Board in determining appropriate sanctions in the event a
3	subsequent violation occurs.
4	
5	PROFESSIONAL ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT
6	Basil Argento Basil Argento (Apr 12, 2022 18:17 PDT) Apr 12, 2022
7	Basil Argento Date
8	BOARD ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT
9	By: May 17, 2022
10	TOBI ZAVALA, Executive Director Date
11	Arizona Board of Behavioral Health Examiners
12	RIGINAL of the foregoing filed th:
13	
14	zona Board of Behavioral Health Examiners 40 West Adams Street, Suite 3600
15	Phoenix, AZ 85007
16	EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing sent electronically May 17, 2022 to:
17	Mona Baskin
18	ssistant Attorney General 005 North Central Avenue
19	Phoenix, AZ 85004
20	Basil Argento Address of Record
21	Respondent
22	Bretton Barber Renaissance Square Tower One
23	2 N. Central Ave., #1800 Phoenix, AZ 85004
24	Attorney for Respondent